

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| 10/705,932 | 11/13/2003 | Chunqiang Tang | 200308654-1 | -1 6564 | | |
| 22879 HEWLETT DA | 7590 04/19/2007 | EXAMINER | | | | |
| P O BOX 2724 | 10/705,932 11/13/2003 Chunqiang Tang | P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD | | PONIKIEWSKI, TOMASZ | | |
| | | STRATION | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 10111 002211 | , | | 2165 | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| , | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| | | | 04/19/2007 | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application N | 0. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--------------------|---|-------------------|----------|--|--|
| Interview Summary | 10/705,932 | | TANG ET AL. | | | |
| interview earning | Examiner | , | Art Unit | | | |
| | Tomasz Ponik | iewski | 2165 | | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): | | | | | | |
| (1) <u>Tomasz Ponikiewski</u> . | (3) <u>Ashok k</u> | Monnova(appl | icant's represen | tative). | | |
| (2) <u>Jeffrey Gaffin</u> . | (4) | | • | | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>16 April 2007</u> . | | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant | 2) applicant | s representative |] | , | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e) No. | · | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,14 and 18</u> . | | | | · · | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: | | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. | g)□ was not re | eached. h) 🗌 N | //A. | · | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's submitted 131 affidavit in light of the interview appears to overcome the prior art. The discussed issues dealing with conception and diligence appear to be overcome in light of the interview. The 101 issues were discussed and the applicant's representative agreed to amend the independent claims to overcome the rejections. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | | | | |
| | • | | • | | | |
| | • | • | | | | |
| • | • | | | | | |
| | | | · . | • | | |
| | | | • | | | |
| | | | • | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an | | | milianela` | <u> </u> | | |
| Attachment to a signed Office action | | Examiner's sign | ature if required | 1 | | |